

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,054	08/04/2003 Satoru		Satoru Shoshi	03463/HG	5523
1933	7590	10/05/2005		EXAMINER	
FRISHAU 220 5TH A	,	Z, GOODMAN &	АНМЕД,	AHMED, SHEEBA	
	NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1773	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/634,054	SHOSHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sheeba Ahmed	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 July This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) □ Claim(s) 1,3,5,7 and 9-24 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,3,5,7,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 11-24 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
	•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/634,054

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants response dated July 11, 2005 has been received and entered in the above-identified application. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9-24 are pending.

The indicated allowability of claims 3, 5, 7, 9, and 10 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Nakauchi et al. (US 6,228,499 B1). A rejection based on the newly cited reference(s) follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakauchi et al. (US 6,228,499 B1).

Nakauchi et al. disclose a resin molded article having a scuff resistant organic hard coat layer and an antifogging organic hard coat layer (Column 1, lines 9-12). Resins that can be used as the substrate of the resin-molded article include acrylic resins, polycarbonate, polystyrene, and polyolefin resins. The thickness of the substrate is not particularly limited and is preferably 0.5 to 5mm (Column 2, lines 46-54). The covering material for forming the antifogging organic hard coat layer includes 100 parts by weight of a crosslink polymerizable mixture comprising polyethylene glycol

dimethacrylate and 0.1 to 15 parts by weight of a surfactant comprising at least a nonionic or anionic surfactant (Column 3, lines 29-32). The preferred nonionic surfactant has an HLB value of 6-11 and specific examples are given at the bottom of Column 4 and the top of Column 5 and include esters of fatty acids. Furthermore, 0.5 to 50 parts by weight of fine metal oxide particles may also be used in the antifogging hard coat layer (Column 5, lines 60-63). Examples of the fine metal oxides include silicon oxide, titanium oxide, and alumina and the particles size may range form 1nm to 1 micron (Column 7, lines 20-26). Examples 1-7 show that the thickness of the antifogging hard coat layer may be 12 microns (Column 18, lines 14-16).

Nakauchi et al. do not disclose or teach that the substrate may have a thickness of 20 to 300 microns.

However, Nakauchi et al. do state that the thickness of the substrate is not particularly limited and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to optimize the thickness of the substrate given that the substrate can be made more flexible by making it thinner.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,054 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 11-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Thursdays from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Sheeba Ahmed Art Unit 1773

September 29, 2005